

2002 Current Fiscal Year Report: Deterrence Concepts Advisory Group

Report Run Date: 06/05/2019 06:28:03 AM

1. Department or Agency

Department of Defense

2. Fiscal Year

2002

3. Committee or Subcommittee

Deterrence Concepts Advisory Group

3b. GSA Committee No.

10763

4. Is this New During Fiscal Year?

No

5. Current Charter

08/06/2001

6. Expected Renewal Date

08/06/2003

7. Expected Term Date

11/30/2001

8a. Was Terminated During Fiscal Year?

Yes

8b. Specific Termination Authority

No

8c. Actual Term Date

12/31/2001

9. Agency Recommendation for Next Fiscal Year

Terminate

10a. Legislation Req to Terminate?

No

10b. Legislation Pending?

No

11. Establishment Authority

Agency Authority

12. Specific Establishment Authority

No

13. Effective Date

No

14. Committee Type

Ad hoc

14c.

Presidential?

No

15. Description of Committee

Other Committee

16a. Total Number of Reports

No Reports for this Fiscal Year

17a. Open Meetings and Dates 0 17b. Closed Meetings and Dates 0 17c. Partially Closed Meetings and Dates 0 17d. Total Meetings and Dates 0

No Meetings

	Current FY	Next FY
18a(1). Personnel Pmts to Non-Federal Members	\$0.00	\$0.00
18a(2). Personnel Pmts to Federal Members	\$0.00	\$0.00
18a(3). Personnel Pmts to Federal Staff	\$2,500.00	\$0.00
18a(4). Personnel Pmts to Non-Member Consultants	\$1,000.00	\$0.00
18b(1). Travel and Per Diem to Non-Federal Members	\$0.00	\$0.00
18b(2). Travel and Per Diem to Federal Members	\$0.00	\$0.00
18b(3). Travel and Per Diem to Federal Staff	\$0.00	\$0.00
18b(4). Travel and Per Diem to Non-member Consultants	\$0.00	\$0.00
18c. Other(rents,user charges, graphics, printing, mail, etc.)	\$0.00	\$0.00
18d. Total	\$3,500.00	\$0.00
19. Federal Staff Support Years (FTE)	0.05	0.00

20a. How does the Committee accomplish its purpose?

The scope and objectives are to examine and elaborate a capabilities-based and adaptive concept for deterrence that is consistent with the lowest nuclear force level compatible with U.S. security requirements; identify key characteristics of a capabilities-based and adaptive concept for deterrence; identify roles for nonnuclear, nuclear, and defensive capabilities in a capabilities-based and adaptive concept of deterrence; and identify key outstanding issues that must be addressed in consideration of a capabilities-based and adaptive concept of deterrence. The duties and responsibilities are to provide advice for the Groups' examination of a capabilities-based and adaptive concept of deterrence and shall advise on developing specific findings and recommendations.

20b. How does the Committee balance its membership?

The members are carefully selected and recognized experts in the field of nuclear deterrence.

20c. How frequent and relevant are the Committee Meetings?

Estimated Number of Meetings per Year - 12 Estimated Total Meetings - 12

20d. Why can't the advice or information this committee provides be obtained elsewhere?

This committee is essential because it is to provide advice and recommendations to advance America's position as a strong, secure, and persuasive force for freedom and progress in the world, and to do so at the lowest nuclear force level consistent with security requirements. The Deterrence Concepts Advisory Group provides an unbiased perspective from a diverse membership with substantial expertise in various aspects of nuclear deterrence and security. No governmental body offers this expertise and no other Advisory Board has a similar charter to provide the advice and recommendations needed to meet this requirement.

20e. Why is it necessary to close and/or partially closed committee meetings?

National security.

21. Remarks

This Committee's work was completed on December 31, 2001.

Designated Federal Officer

Peter F. Verga DUSD(Policy Integration)

Committee Members	Start	End	Occupation	Member Designation
Blechman, Barry	08/08/2001	08/08/2003	Defense Forecasts Incorporated	Special Government Employee (SGE) Member
Brooks, Linton	08/08/2001	08/08/2003	Center for Naval Analysis	Special Government Employee (SGE) Member
Guthe, Kurt	08/08/2001	08/08/2003	National Institute for Public Policy	Special Government Employee (SGE) Member

Miller, Jim	08/08/2001	08/08/2003	Hicks and Associates	Special Government Employee (SGE) Member
Payne, Keith	08/08/2001	08/08/2003	National Institute for Public Policy	Special Government Employee (SGE) Member
Williams, Chris	08/08/2001	08/08/2003	Johnston and Associates	Special Government Employee (SGE) Member
Woolsey, Jim	08/08/2001	08/08/2003	Shea and Gardner	Special Government Employee (SGE) Member

Number of Committee Members Listed: 7

Narrative Description

What are the most significant program outcomes associated with this committee?

Checked if Applies

Improvements to health or safety	<input type="checkbox"/>
Trust in government	<input type="checkbox"/>
Major policy changes	<input type="checkbox"/>
Advance in scientific research	<input type="checkbox"/>
Effective grant making	<input type="checkbox"/>
Improved service delivery	<input type="checkbox"/>
Increased customer satisfaction	<input type="checkbox"/>
Implementation of laws or regulatory requirements	<input type="checkbox"/>
Other	<input type="checkbox"/>

Outcome Comments

What are the cost savings associated with this committee?

Checked if Applies

None	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
Unable to Determine	<input type="checkbox"/>
Under \$100,000	<input type="checkbox"/>
\$100,000 - \$500,000	<input type="checkbox"/>
\$500,001 - \$1,000,000	<input type="checkbox"/>
\$1,000,001 - \$5,000,000	<input type="checkbox"/>
\$5,000,001 - \$10,000,000	<input type="checkbox"/>
Over \$10,000,000	<input type="checkbox"/>
Cost Savings Other	<input type="checkbox"/>

Cost Savings Comments

What is the approximate Number of recommendations produced by this committee

for the life of the committee?

0

Number of Recommendations Comments

What is the approximate Percentage of these recommendations that have been or will be Fully implemented by the agency?

0%

% of Recommendations Fully Implemented Comments

What is the approximate Percentage of these recommendations that have been or will be Partially implemented by the agency?

0%

% of Recommendations Partially Implemented Comments

Does the agency provide the committee with feedback regarding actions taken to implement recommendations or advice offered?

Yes ☐ No ☐ Not Applicable ☒

Agency Feedback Comments

What other actions has the agency taken as a result of the committee's advice or recommendation?

Checked if Applies

Reorganized Priorities	<input type="checkbox"/>
Reallocated resources	<input type="checkbox"/>
Issued new regulation	<input type="checkbox"/>
Proposed legislation	<input type="checkbox"/>
Approved grants or other payments	<input type="checkbox"/>
Other	<input type="checkbox"/>

Action Comments

Is the Committee engaged in the review of applications for grants?

No

Grant Review Comments

How is access provided to the information for the Committee's documentation?

Checked if Applies

Contact DFO

☐

Online Agency Web Site

☐

Online Committee Web Site

☐

Online GSA FACA Web Site

☐

Publications

☐

Other

☐

Access Comments